Government of India Act. There has been no unnecessary delay.

(4) It is not possible to say what the probable loss would be, since it would depend upon the net revenue available for division among the various parties who have joined in the scheme.

Mr. E Ikkanda Warriar:—May I know the approximate average monthly income collected under this head.

Mr. M P. Cherian:—Up-to-date we have collected about Rs. 79,000.

Mr. E. Ikkanda Warriar:-May I know the monthly average?

Mr. M. P. Cherian:—In Kanni we collected Rs. 6,000, Thulam 15,000, Vrischigam 26,000 and Dhanu 30,000.

Mr. E. Ikkanda Warriar:—After the State decided to co-operate with the scheme of collection, what steps did they take except the passing of the Proclamation?

Mr. M. P. Cherian:—Some enquiries were made. There were objections from the manufacturers in giving retrospective effect and it had also to be ascertained whether any Legislation was necessary.

Dr. A. R. Menon:—Did the Government sustain any loss by delaying to levy this duty?

Mr M. P. Cherian:—We have not sustained any loss but we may not be gaining anything.

Mr. Joseph Pettah:—Was it not only fair that some time should be given between the date of intimation and actual date of collection?

St. Mary's Malayalam School, Chellanam.

182. Mr. P. Krishna Menon:—Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether a teacher of the above-said school was suspended at the instance of the Registrar of Co-operative Societies;

(b) was any explanation taken from the said teacher before suspending him;

(c) how did the case against him terminate in the court of first instance;

(d) was he not re-instated at the instance of the Head-master after the disposal of the case in the first court;

(e) was he again suspended;

(f) has any explanation been taken from him up to this date;

(g) how long has he been under suspension?

Mr. I N. Menon:—(a) A teacher was suspended, but not at the instance of the Registrar.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

(b) No; but there was a report from the Manager and a mass petition against the retention of the teacher in the school.

(c) He was acquitted. But definite information on this was received only on 8th Kanni 111.

(d) Yes; but the Manager did this without departmental sanction and without definitely stating whether the case has ended and if so, how.

(e) He was merely ordered to be kept under suspension till he proved himself to be not guilty and produced necessary evidence for the same.

(f) A petition was received from him and he was asked to produce necessary documentary evidence of acquittal which he did only very recently. He has been since ordered to be re-instated.

(g) From 10th Vrischigam 1108.

Bills passed by the Legislative Council.

183. Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan:—Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the names of Bills passed by the present Council but not either assented or vetoed as yet;

(b) the date on which the Bills in (a) were passed by the Council?

Mr. C. J. Mathew:—(a) and (b)

	Name of the Bill	*Date of passing.*
(1)	The Cochin Tenancy Bill	30—11—1932
(2)	The Cochin Civil Marriage Bill	26—1—1934
(3)	The Cochin Nambudiri Bill	21—31—1934

Free grant of timber in 1109.

184. Mr. T. A Ramchandra Ayyar:—Will the Government be pleased to state the names of persons to whom timber was given free in the year 1109, the objects for which they were given, the quantity given to each and the price?

Mr. T. Narayana Menon:—A list giving the information is appended. *(Appendix II).*

Claims of Mr. Seethi—payment of.

185. Mr. K. M. Ibrahim:—Will the Government kindly state whether the refund of Rs 164, the supplementary grant voted by the last meeting of the Council has been paid?

Mr. K. Achyuta Menon:—No orders have been issued to the Deputy Tahsildar of Cranganur to submit necessary refund bill with the signature of Mr. Seethi obtained in it. The question of payment is pending receipt of the refund bill.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly